1 2 3 4 5	ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN, County Counsel ELIZABETH M. CORTEZ, Assistant County Counsel THOMAS FAGAN, Principal Deputy County Counsel		
6	Attorneys for COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
7			
8 9	CUREDIOD COURT OF THE CEATER OF CALLED		
10			
11			
12	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,	CASE NO. BC423286	
13	Plaintiff/Petitioner,	STIPULATION FOR JUDGMENT AND	
14	v.	EXHIBITS	
15	THE CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS; THE	Dept.: 25	
16	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA	Judge: Hon. Susan Bryant-Deason Action Filed: October 6, 2009	
17	FE SPRINGS; THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS; ALL PERSONS INTERESTED IN THE MATTER	Trial Date: None	
18	OF AMENDMENT NUMBER TWO TO THE WASHINGTON BOULEVARD		
19	REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT		
20	COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS AS ADOPTED BY CITY		
21	ORDINANCE NUMBER 1001 ON JULY 15 th , 2009; AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50,		
22	INCLUSIVE,		
23	Defendants/Respondents.		
24	5		
25	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff/Petitioner, COUNTY OF LOS		
26	ANGELES, and Defendants/Respondents CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS, COMMUNITY		
27 28	DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS and CITY		
40			
	HOA.693418.1 -1- STIPULATION FOR JUDGMENT		

COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS, and through their respective counsel, that this case shall be settled pursuant to Code Civ. Proc. 664.6 on the following terms:

- 1. The parties agree that Amendment Number Two to the Washington Boulevard Redevelopment Project of the City of Santa Fe Springs and Ordinance No. 1001 of the City of Santa Fe Springs, adopted on July 15, 2009, shall be amended to conform to the map attached hereto as Exhibit A and the legal description attached hereto as Exhibit B. Exhibits A and B are hereby incorporated herein by reference.
- 2. The City of Santa Fe Springs agrees to adopt an Ordinance amending Ordinance No. 1001 of July 15, 2009, and Amendment Number Two to the Washington Boulevard Redevelopment Project of the City of Santa Fe Springs to conform to the map and legal description attached hereto as Exhibits A and B.
- 3. The County of Los Angeles covenants not to sue the Defendants in an effort to invalidate the Ordinance amending Ordinance No. 1001 and Amendment Number Two to the Washington Boulevard Redevelopment Project of the City of Santa Fe Springs provided that the amended area conforms to the "Area of the Amendment" shown on Exhibit A and the legal description contained in Exhibit B.
- 4. Once Amendment Number Two and Ordinance 1001 have been amended in accordance with the provisions of this Stipulation for Judgment, the COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES shall submit to the Court for approval the Stipulation for Judgment and Judgment Validating the Redevelopment Plan as Amended attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 5. The parties agree that each shall bear its own attorneys fees, and the Defendants/Respondents agree to bear their own costs and to pay the COUNTY's costs which total nine thousand four hundred fifty-nine dollars and thirty cents (\$9,459.30).
 - 6. The parties to this suit are bodies corporate and politic, each has agreed to the terms of

1	this Stipulation for Judgment and each has invested its undersigned agent with the authority to			
2	sign this document on its behalf and in satisfaction of the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure			
3	section 664.6.			
4	Respectfully submitted,			
5	DATED: April, 2010	By:	Betty Centnam	
6 7			V	
8			CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS	
9	DATED: April, 2010	By:	Betly Gutnam	
10			COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	
11			COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS	
12	DATED: April, 2010	By:	Betty Gutnam	
13	DTTLD: Ttptii, 2010	By.	we f we win	
14			CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS	
15			When me	
16 17	DATED: April, 2010	By:		
18			WILLIAM T FUJIOKA CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER	
19			COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
20	DATED: April, 2010	By:	LISA KRANITZ	
21			Attorney for the Defendants/Respondents CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS;	
22			COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF	
23			SANTA FE SPRINGS; CITY COUNSEL OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS	
24		_	Thurst Hope.	
25	DATED: April, 2010	By:	THOMAS P. FAGAN	
26			Attorney for the V lain tiff/Petitioner COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
27				
28				
	HOA.693418.1 STIPULAT	<u>-3-</u> ΓΙΟΝ FO	OR JUDGMENT	